

April 14, 2008
Jonesboro, Louisiana
www.jacksonparishpolicejury.org

The Jackson Parish Police Jury met Monday, April 14, 2008 at 5:45 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center located at 182 Industrial Drive, Jonesboro, Louisiana to conduct a public hearing to receive documented reasons showing the public purpose served by two roads under consideration to be removed from the parish road system. Members present: Mr. Tom Goss, Mr. Eddie Langston, Mr. Kent Hightower, Ms. Maxie Monroe, Mr. Ray Duck and Mr. Troy Smith. Absent: Mr. Nathaniel Zeno, Jr.

The meeting was called to order by the vice president, Mr. Langston. Mr. Goss gave the invocation and Mr. Duck led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

The vice president opened the hearing for public comments on the removal of the portion of Hatten Road from the May Road up to the intersection just south of the residence of Loyd Hatten and comments on the removal of Hickory Springs Road from the parish road system.

Comments:

Ms. Joyce Copeland, a resident of Caldwell parish, requested that Hickory Springs Road remain in the parish system because of Hickory Springs Methodist Church and cemetery.

Mr. Ed Jones, a resident of Ouachita parish requested that Hatten Road remain in the system because he owned property on the road.

Ms. Kay Franklin, a resident of Caldwell parish, requested that Hickory Springs remain in the system because of its history.

Mr. Robbie Roberts requested that Hickory Springs remain in the system because he lives on the portion of the road located in Caldwell parish.

Mr. Tracy Beamesderfer of Plum Creek stated that if the parish removed Hickory Springs Road from the parish road system that Plum Creek Timber Co. would assure that the road would remain open to the public, and that it would be maintained and no deer stands would be allowed on the right of way.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Goss to close the public hearing. Motion carried.

April 14, 2008
Jonesboro, Louisiana
www.jacksonparishpolicejury.org

The Jackson Parish Police Jury met in regular session Monday, April 14, 2008, at 6:00 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center located at 182 Industrial Drive, Jonesboro, Louisiana. Members present: Mr. Tom Goss, Mr. Eddie Langston, Mr. Kent Hightower, Ms. Maxie Monroe, Mr. Ray Duck and Mr. Troy Smith. Absent: Mr. Nathaniel Zeno, Jr. Also present: Mr. Douglas Stokes, Assistant District Attorney.

The meeting was called to order by the vice president, Mr. Langston.

Public comments: Mr. Jerreld Robison discussed a lack of attendance at the town meeting recently conducted by the Public Service Commissioner. Mr. David Newell introduced himself as a candidate for Second Judicial District Attorney.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to amend the agenda to include:
Consideration of removal of Hickory Springs Road from the parish road system
Consideration of removal of a portion of Hatten Road from the parish road system
Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Ms. Monroe to maintain Hickory Springs Road in the parish road system. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Duck to maintain Hatten Road in the parish road system. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Smith to amend the agenda to include:
Authorize signing of a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the sheriff for litter control on parish roads.
Adoption of the Annual Road Capital Improvement Plan
Approve repairs to damaged roads by Dreher Contractors
Authorize vice president to sign documents in absence of the president
Motion carried.

Mr. Doyle Robinson, Director of the La. Delta Regional Authority discussed grants and programs available to the parish and municipalities.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Smith to adopt the minutes of the March 10, 2008 meeting, the March financial report and the payment of all bills. Motion carried.

The president called for the committee reports:

The secretary-treasurer gave the report of the UCC Committee:

“Uniform Construction Code Committee
March 17, 2008

The Uniform Construction Code Committee of the Jackson Parish Police Jury met Monday, March 17, 2008 at 4:00 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center. Members present: Mr. Eddie Langston, Mr. Nathaniel Zeno, Jr. and Mr. Ray Duck. Also present Mr. Tom Goss, Mr. Kent Hightower, Ms. Maxie Monroe and Mr. Troy Smith.

The meeting was called to order by the chairman, Mr. Zeno. Mr. Langston gave the invocation and Mr. Duck led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

There were no public comments.

Mr. Curt McCarty, Administrator for the Louisiana Code Council and Mr. Randy Noel, Chairman of the Louisiana Code Council addressed the committee and answered questions concerning the enforcement of state law.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Langston to adjourn. Motion carried.”

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Ms. Monroe to adopt the minutes and recommendations of the Uniform Construction Code Committee meeting. Motion carried.

The secretary-treasurer gave the report of the Road Committee:

“Road Committee
March 24, 2008

The Road Committee of the Jackson Parish Police Jury met Monday, March 24, 2008 at 4:00 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center. Members present: Mr. Tom Goss, Mr. Eddie Langston and Mr. Ray Duck. Also present: Mr. Kent Hightower, Mr. Nathaniel Zeno, Jr., Ms. Maxie Monroe and Mr. Troy Smith.

The meeting was called to order by the chairman, Mr. Langston. Mr. Smith gave the invocation and Mr. Langston led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public comments: Ms. Joyce Copeland requested that Hickory Springs Road not be taken out of the parish road system.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to place the approval of the Annual Road Capital Improvement Plan on the April 14th agenda for approval. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the engineer prepare bid specifications for the 2008 road project with Shortstraw Road and Bear Knoll Drive as the selected roads for 2008. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to go into executive session to discuss a personnel issue with no action to be taken. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Duck to return to open session with no action taken. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to recommend the employment of Bobby Lee Allen and Calvin Pardue as Utility workers in the road department as recommended by the road superintendent and with concurrence of the personnel committee. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to table discussion of the payment of E911 signs. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to recess the road committee meeting. Motion carried. The meeting recessed at 5:20 PM.

The chairman declared the meeting back in session at 5:25 PM.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the jury appropriate \$7,500 for each municipality and to consider requests for emergency assistance upon an individual basis. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury deny the request that Bellview Road be placed back into the parish road system because it would violate parish policy. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the jury deny the request of the Jackson Parish School Board for repairs to James Leonard Road because it is not in the parish road system. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Duck to adjourn. Motion carried.”

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to adopt the minutes and recommendations of the Road Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Ms. Monroe gave the report of the Solid Waste Committee:

“Solid Waste Committee
March 24, 2008

The Solid Waste Committee of the Jackson Parish Police Jury met Monday, March 24, 2008 at 5:20 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center. Members present: Mr. Tom Goss, Mr. Kent Hightower and Ms. Maxie Monroe. Also present: Mr. Eddie Langston, Mr. Nathaniel Zeno, Jr., Mr. Ray Duck and Mr. Troy Smith.

The meeting was called to order by the chairlady, Ms. Maxie Monroe. There were no public comments.

The chairlady recognized Sheriff Andy Brown, who discussed an agreement with the jury for litter pickup on parish roads.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the Jury enter into a cooperative endeavor agreement with the sheriff for a litter patrol for parish roads. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to recess. Motion carried. The committee recessed at 5:25 PM.

The chairlady reconvened the meeting at 6:15 PM.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the jury authorize the solid waste to purchase reclaimed asphalt from the road department at cost for repair to the bin sites at Quitman hilltop, Alexander and Fred Hearne. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Hightower to recommend that the jury authorize the advertisement for bids for one (1) boom truck and one (1) refuse trailer. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Ms. Monroe to amend the agenda to discuss the purchase of an excavator for the landfill. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Hightower to recommend that the jury authorize the superintendent to obtain quotes for a three year lease for an excavator. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Hightower to recommend that the jury purchase an 8 X 12 portable building from Jonesboro Glass at a cost of \$1,838.60. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to recommend that the jury authorize overtime for the parish's cleanup day on March 29th. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Hightower to recommend that the jury approve the proposal of Deep South Organic to grind oversized wood material (Option 3) at no cost to the jury contingent upon approval of legal counsel. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Mr. Hightower to adjourn. Motion carried.”

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to adopt the minutes and recommendations of the Solid Waste Committee. Motion carried.

Mr. Goss presented the report of the Building and Grounds Committee:

“Building & Grounds
April 7, 2008

The Building & Grounds Committee met Monday, April 7, 2008 at 4:00 PM in the Jackson Parish Community Center in Jonesboro, Louisiana. Members present: Mr. Thomas Goss, Ms. Maxie Monroe and Mr. Ray Duck. Also present: Mr. Eddie Langston, Mr. Kent Hightower and Mr. Troy Smith.

The chairman, Mr. Goss, called the meeting to order and gave the invocation. Mr. Duck led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance. There were no public comments.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to amend the agenda to discuss the following:
Community Center rules and regulations
Community Center P.A. system
Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury approve the proposal of Century Tel for the installation of a security system for the health unit building, with installation cost of \$4,200 and a monthly monitoring fee of \$51.78. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury approve the quotation of Dodson Enterprise in the amount of \$5,795 for the construction work at parish health unit in conjunction with installation of security system. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury approve the request of the Sheriff for use of the basement laundry room as an evidence room. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury formally request a full disclosure of all expenses and revenue of the Jonesboro Airport as invoiced by the Town of Jonesboro for the period of October 2006 – September 2007. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to recommend that the jury approve the maintenance contract of Service 1st for the parish courthouse in the amount of \$10,800. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to recommend that the jury restrict elevator access to the 4th floor of the courthouse. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to recommend that the jury authorize Pine Belt Multi Purpose Community Action to make necessary improvements to the building's electrical service. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to recommend that the jury bill the Town of Jonesboro \$900 for plants in the Veteran's Memorial Park that were killed during a controlled burn by the Jonesboro Fire Department of a building located at 319 Sixth Street. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to recommend that the jury approve the request of Brenda Shively for use of the Veteran's Park April 19, 2008 for a variety of music during the Sunshine Festival. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to recommend that the jury prohibit the use of alcoholic beverages in the Jackson Parish Community Center. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Goss to place on the April 14 jury agenda the discussion of Mayor Thompson's use of the community center for a networking party held on March 28, 2008. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Ms. Duck to adjourn. Motion carried.”

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Goss to adopt the minutes and recommendations of the Building and Grounds Committee. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Duck to accept the engineer's report. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Smith to accept the report of the solid waste superintendent. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Duck to accept the emergency / off schedule report of the road superintendent. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Goss to accept the report of the road superintendent. Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Duck to employ James Matt Vail in the road department as a Truck Driver II as recommended by the road superintendent. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Goss to appoint Michael Anderson to the Hodge Fire Protection District Board of Directors. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Ms. Monroe to authorize the president to submit an economic development grant for Lakeview Road in Chatham. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Goss seconded Ms. Monroe to delete from the agenda the discussion of surveillance equipment for the road facility. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Hightower to approve the payment of \$19,877.91 to the Second Judicial District Attorney for first quarter of 2008 expenses. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Mr. Hightower to table the amendment of community center rules and regulations relating to use by the Town of Jonesboro. A roll call vote was taken:

District 1 – Nay

District 2 – Yea

District 3 – Yea

District 4 – Absent

District 5 – Yea

District 6 – Yea

District 7 – Nay

Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Duck seconded Ms. Monroe to authorize the repair damage to the driveway of Fred Hearn, Jr. caused by drainage of a solid waste bin site adjacent to the driveway. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Smith seconded Mr. Goss to approve the following purchase orders:

McCartney Oil	\$ 696.14	Solid Waste
J. S. Burks	\$ 700.00	Asphalt
Michelli Scale Co.	\$ 499.50	Solid Waste
Sign Design	\$1,394.50	Solid Waste
HTC	\$ 550.00	Road

Quality Tire	\$ 875.00	Road
Walpole Tire	\$ 403.96	Solid Waste
Walpole Tire	\$ 535.92	Solid Waste
Software & Services	\$ 930.00	General
Mid State Communication	\$ 900.00	OEP
Sam's Club	\$ 896.00	Health Unit
Reed Plumbing	\$ 458.62	Solid Waste
Material Resources	\$1,580.00	Asphalt

Motion carried.

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Smith to authorize the signing of the Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with Sheriff Andy Brown for the collection of litter on parish maintained roads. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Duck to adopt the Annual Road Improvement Plan:

**JACKSON PARISH POLICE JURY
ANNUAL ROAD CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS POLICY
ADOPTED
April 14, 2008
FOR YEARS 2009, 2010, AND 2011**

1. Every three years the Parish will, using the priority rating scheme approved by the Police Jury, assign a priority rating number to each Parish road or section of Road.
2. Two groups of priority rating lists will be compiled every third year. One group will apply to existing gravel roads which are to receive surface treatment or asphaltic concrete; the other group will apply to capital improvements for existing asphalt or concrete roads. The existing gravel group is split up into two categories, major and minor. The major gravel category consists of gravel roads with an ADT greater than fifty and/or at least 5 houses per mile. The road must be at least 0.4 miles long to be considered in the major category. The minor gravel category consists of all other roads. Existing blacktop roads are split up into four categories, major, medium, minor, and overlay. The overlay list will consist of roads which had been chip sealed, overlaid, or reconstructed in previous road programs (1999 to present). Only roads that need minor repairs and/or maintenance in the opinion of the Engineer will be on this list. Roads that need more than minor patching, leveling, and chip seal, in the opinion of the Engineer, will be placed on one of the other three existing blacktop lists. Major blacktop consists of blacktop roads with an ADT greater than 150. Medium blacktop consists of roads with ADT between 50 and 150. Minor blacktop consist of roads with an ADT less than 50.
3. The Police Jury will, each year, based upon available funding and need annually, designate the division and amount of funds to be used for hard surfacing existing gravel roads and for existing hard surface roads. The Police Jury will also, each year, determine the amount of funds to be used for each of the six lists.

4. In order to more equally distribute capital improvements projects throughout the parish because of limited funds, no more than one mile of new hard surface will be constructed on any one road in any one year on any existing gravel road and no more than two and one-half miles will be constructed on any one road in any one year on any existing hard surface road. The mileage limitation can be increased by the Police Jury if required to reach a desired stopping point, upon Engineer's recommendation. This increase can include portions of the road which are on different lists
5. In order to assure the safety of the driving public, no new hard surface road will be constructed unless there is right-of-way available for a two-lane road (60' minimum). For purposes of this determination, the driving surface of any new hard surface road shall be a minimum of eighteen feet wide. Due to the uniqueness of Gravel Minor roads (low traffic count, minimal houses, very short roads, high maintenance cost per mile, etc.), the Jury may determine, by vote, on a case by case basis, that certain roads on this list do not require 60' minimum right-of-way or 18' wide minimum driving surface. In such instances, the exemption shall be granted and the new requirements set only after careful consideration of the uniqueness of the conditions. Such roads shall be designated as "premium". In event a road (Gravel Major or Gravel Minor) has a high enough priority for funding and does not have sufficient width for hard surfacing, then such road will be skipped on the priority list to the next road of sufficient width for two-laning. The Jury, by vote, on a case by case basis, may also determine that a gravel major road, due to low traffic count, minimal houses, high maintenance per mile, type of traffic, has adequate width and right of way. In such instances, the exemption shall be granted and the new requirements set for that particular road. These roads can be constructed without acquiring additional Right-of-Way. Such roads shall be designated as "experimental".
6. The Parish recognizes that there is also a priority list for bridges to be replaced with state funding under the Federal Off-System Bridge and Rehabilitation Program. Furthermore, it is recognized that during construction of such bridges, parish roads are closed until completion of construction. Accordingly, existing gravel roads on which bridges are to be replaced will be skipped for hard surfacing in any year for which a bridge is scheduled for replacement.
7. Because of the number of parish roads, it is recognized that many roads will have the same engineering priority number. In such case, all roads having the same priority rating number will be prioritized by the Police Jury on the basis of geographical fairness, funding availability and benefit to the public.
8. For any existing gravel road which connects to an existing asphalt or concrete road, new asphalt surfacing will begin at the existing asphalt connection. In event other requirements of this policy prohibit this procedure, such as insufficient right-of-way for a two lane road, and then the road will be skipped on the priority list until all requirements of this policy allow the new asphalt surfacing.
9. This policy applies only to self-generated parish funds and to the State Road Fund funds. Any special project for which State, Federal, or out-side funding is earmarked will not be a part of this procedure, and any such road will be skipped on the priority list pending construction by such designated funding.

**EXPLANATION OF CRITERIA
EXISTING GRAVEL ROADS**

**Adopted
April 14, 2008**

1. Number of Dwellings – A road with less than 2 dwellings is given a rating of Negative 2. Roads with 2 to 4 dwellings will be given a rating of 0. Roads with 5 to 8 dwellings will be given a rating of 1 and roads with greater than 8 dwellings will be given two points. The number of dwellings per mile will also be calculated and used as one of the criteria for breaking a tie rating after the road is completely rated. A higher number of dwellings per mile is more important than a lower number of dwellings per mile.

2. Existing Condition of Road – The existing condition of a road is considered one of the most important criteria in the priority system. A road in good condition can be relied upon to provide a safe and adequate riding surface under all types of conditions, but a road in poor condition is a source of danger to the public and a continuous maintenance problem. The condition of the existing road surface is evaluated utilizing the following criteria with a maximum possible rating of ten:

<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>ROAD CONDITION RATING</u>	<u>POINTS</u>
Good	Surface in good, but not perfect condition, minor ripples or potholes, does not significantly affect riding quality.	0-3
Fair	Surface condition marred by ripples and/or potholes, which mildly affects riding quality.	4-7
	Surface condition provides poor riding quality and/or potholes or lack of road base exists to such an extent that reworking is needed	
Poor	Surface conditions are extremely poor. Riding quality is poor to the extent that automobiles must travel slow speeds in order to avoid hazards.	8-10

3. Estimated Traffic Volume – The estimated traffic volume (Average Daily Traffic) using a road is a good indicator of its value to the public. The greater the traffic flow the greater the need for a good all weather roadbed and surface to sustain the increased loading requirements. Cut-off roads with a high traffic volume are good examples of roads that provide benefits to a large number of Parish residents. Traffic counting machines would be invaluable in the future in compiling the most meaningful data needed for this criteria. Estimates of the traffic volume on each road is obtained from persons familiar with the roads under consideration, Parish counting records, and/or from most recent available La DOTD records. For roads without a traffic count, it will be assumed that the road will have a traffic count of 5 vehicles per day per house on the road unless other data is available.

The maximum rating for this item is ten. ADT of 0-24 will receive 0 points. ADT of 25-99 will receive 1-3 points. ADT of 100-199 will receive 4-7 points. ADT of 200-more will receive 8-10 points.

4. Special Considerations – Special Considerations cover a multitude of items that may enter into the selection process. Some of the considerations are:
 - a. Relative maintenance costs
 - b. Road serving special areas (such as nursing homes, recreation areas, landfill, a fire station, or a family with special needs)
 - c. Expected increased traffic flow
 - d. Individual recommendations
 - e. Roads connecting to Blacktop portion which rates high enough to have work done on it.
 - f. Other considerations

A maximum rating of eight was allotted under this criteria.

5. Summary – The total maximum rating to be achieved by any road is 30 points.

Due to the limited funds available and the number of roads that require improvement, an existing road must receive a total rating of six points or more to be given further priority consideration.

EXPLANATION OF CRITERIA EXISTING BLACKTOP ROADS

**Adopted
April 14, 2008**

1. Paragraphs 2-6 apply to major, medium, and minor existing blacktop priority lists. See paragraph 7 for explanation of overlay list.
2. Number of Dwellings – A road with less than 2 dwellings is given a rating of Negative 2. All other roads will be given a 0. The number of dwellings per mile will also be calculated and used as one of the criteria for breaking a tie rating after the road is completely rated. A higher number of dwellings per mile is more important than a lower number of dwellings per mile.
3. Existing Condition of Road – The existing condition of a road is considered the single most important criteria in the priority system. A road in good condition can be relied upon to provide a safe and adequate riding surface under all types of conditions, but a road in poor condition is a source of danger to the public and a continuous maintenance problem. The condition of the existing road surface is evaluated utilizing the following criteria with a maximum possible rating of twenty:

CONDITION ROAD CONDITION RATING

POINTS

Good	Surface in good, but not perfect condition, minor ripples or patching, does not significantly affect riding quality.	0-6
Fair	Surface condition marred by ripples and/or patching, which mildly affects riding quality. Surface condition provides poor riding quality and/or patching, potholing, or lack of adequate base exists to such an extent that reworking is needed	7-14
Poor	Surface conditions are extremely poor. Riding quality is poor to the extent that automobiles must travel slow speeds in order to avoid hazards.	15-20

4. Estimated Traffic Volume – The estimated traffic volume (Average Daily Traffic) using a road is a good indicator of its value to the public. The greater the traffic flow the greater the need for a good all weather roadbed and surface to sustain the increased loading requirements. Cut-off roads with a high traffic volume are good examples of roads that provide benefits to a large number of Parish residents. Traffic counting machines would be invaluable in the future in compiling the most meaningful data needed for this criteria. Estimates of the traffic volume on each road is obtained from persons familiar with the roads under consideration, Parish counting records, and/or from most recent available La DOTD records. For roads without a traffic count, it will be assumed that the road will have a traffic count of 5 vehicles per day per house on the road unless other data is available. The maximum rating for this item is ten. ADT of 0-24 will receive 0 points. ADT of 25-99 will receive 1-3 points. ADT of 100-199 will receive 4-7 points. ADT of 200-more will receive 8-10 points.

5. Special Considerations – Special Considerations cover a multitude of items that may enter into the selection process. Some of the considerations are:

- a. Relative maintenance costs
- b. Road serving special areas (such as nursing homes, recreation areas, landfill, a fire station, or a family with special needs)
- c. Expected increased traffic flow
- d. Individual recommendations
- e. Road connects to Gravel section which rates high enough for work to be done or which has recently been done
- f. Other considerations
- g. On hard surfaced roads which are to be placed on the “overlay” list, the length of time since it was worked on in the Parish Road Program will be a factor in giving points for this item. Roads which qualify for this list that were part of the 1999, 2000, or 2001 Road Program will receive an additional 10 points. Roads which

qualify for this list that were part of the 2002, 2003, and 2004 Road Program will receive an additional 5 points.

A maximum rating of ten was allotted under this criteria.

6. Summary – The total maximum rating to be achieved by any road is 40 points except on the overlay list.

7. Overlay list - Since all roads on the overlay list require minimal patches and leveling, it is assumed that all or the roads on this list are relatively in the same shape. Traffic Counts, number of dwellings, and years since the road was last overlaid are the only items that attribute to the ratings of these roads. The number of points given for the traffic count and number of dwellings is the same as the other existing blacktop roads. The points given for number of years since the road has last been overlaid is as follows:

0-6 yrs	-2 points
6-7 yrs	2 points
8-10 yrs	6 points
11-13 yrs	9 points
14-16 yrs	12 points
Over 16	15 points

The total maximum number rating to be achieved on the overlay list is 25

Motion Ms. Monroe seconded Mr. Hightower to approve the quotation of Dreher Contracts for repairs to damaged roads in the amount of \$30,000. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Duck to authorize the vice president to sign documents in the absence of the president. Motion carried.

Motion Mr. Hightower seconded Mr. Duck to adjourn. Motion carried.